

## AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE DIAMETER OF A POLYTOPE <sup>★</sup>

David BARNETTE

*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, Calif. 95616, USA*

Received 5 March 1974

**Abstract.** The distance between two vertices of a polytope is the minimum number of edges in a path joining them. The diameter of a polytope is the greatest distance between two vertices of the polytope. We show that if  $P$  is a  $d$ -dimensional polytope with  $n$  facets, then the diameter of  $P$  is at most  $\frac{1}{3} 2^{d-3}(n - d + \frac{5}{2})$ .

### 1. Introduction

If we have two vertices of a convex polytope, the number of edges in a shortest path joining them is called the *distance* between the vertices. The maximum distance between pairs of vertices is called the *diameter* of the polytope.

Because of applications in linear programming, it is of interest to find an upper bound for the diameter of a  $d$ -dimensional polytope in terms of  $d$  and  $n$ , the number of facets of  $P$ . This has been a difficult problem and the results so far are far from the conjectured upper bounds (see [1–3]). The best general result which applies to all dimensions is that the diameter is at most  $2^{d-3}n$  (see [3]). For certain small dimensions and for polytopes with few vertices, better results are known [1–3].

In this paper we improve on the bound  $2^{d-3}n$  by showing that the diameter is less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{3} 2^{d-3}(n - d + \frac{5}{2})$ .

### 2. Definitions

A  $d$ -polytope is a  $d$ -dimensional set that is the convex hull of a finite

<sup>★</sup> Research supported by a Sloan Foundation grant.

set of points. A *face* of a  $d$ -polytope  $P$  is the intersection of  $P$  with a supporting hyperplane. Faces of dimension  $d - 1$  are called *facets* and faces of dimension  $d - 2$  are called *subfacets*. A  $d$ -polytope is *simple* provided each vertex meets exactly  $d$  edges.

The *graph* of a polytope is the graph consisting of its vertices and edges. A graph is  *$d$ -polyhedral* provided it is isomorphic to the graph of some  $d$ -polytope. A characterization of 3-polyhedral graphs is given by Steinitz [4]:

*A graph (without loops or multiple edges) is 3-polyhedral if and only if it is planar and 3-connected.*

If  $G$  is a graph in the plane  $\Pi$ , then the connected components of  $\Pi \sim G$  are called the *faces* of  $G$ . If  $G$  is 3-polyhedral, then its faces correspond in a natural way to the facets of the 3-polytope. It follows from Steinitz' theorem that if  $G$  is a graph in the plane, with more than three vertices, and without multiple edges, such that each face is bounded by a triangle, then the graph and its dual are 3-polyhedral.

By a *path* in a  $d$ -polytope  $P$  we mean a simple arc in  $P$  consisting of edges of  $P$ . The *length* of the path is the number of edges in it. If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of  $P$ , then  $\delta(x, y)$  is defined to be the minimum length of paths from  $x$  to  $y$  and is called the *distance* from  $x$  to  $y$ . If  $A$  is a face of  $P$ , then  $\delta(x, A)$  is defined to be  $\min \delta(x, y)$  taken over all vertices  $y$  of  $A$ , and is called the *distance* from  $x$  to  $A$ . If  $F$  is a face of  $P$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $\delta_F(x, y)$  is defined to be the length of a shortest path in  $F$  joining  $x$  and  $y$ . The notation  $\delta_F(x, A)$  is similarly defined when  $A$  is a face of  $F$ . The *diameter* of a polytope  $P$  is  $\max \delta(x, y)$  taken over all pairs of vertices  $(x, y)$  in  $P$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of a  $d$ -polytope  $P$ , then a *chain* joining  $x$  and  $y$  is a sequence of facets  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  such that  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  is a facet of both  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$ ,  $x \in F_1$  and  $y \in F_k$ . The *length* of a chain is the number of facets in it. A chain of length  $k$  is called a  *$k$ -chain*.

There is a process for constructing smaller 3-polyhedral graphs from a given 3-polyhedral graph, called removing edges, which will be useful. Let  $e$  be an edge of the graph  $G$  of a simple 3-polytope. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be the vertices of  $e$ , let  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  be the other vertices joined to  $v_1$  and let  $v_5$  and  $v_6$  be the vertices joined to  $v_2$  (these may not all be distinct). Let  $G'$  be the graph consisting of all vertices and edges of  $G$  that miss  $e$ , together with edges joining  $v_3$  to  $v_4$  and  $v_5$  to  $v_6$ . We say that  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by *removing*  $e$ . If  $G'$  is also the graph of a 3-polytope, we say that  $e$  is *removable*.

### 3. The main result

**Lemma 1.** *If  $G$  is the graph of a simple 3-polytope  $P$ , and if  $P$  is not a tetrahedron, then each face of  $G$  has a removable edge.*

**Proof.** Let  $G^*$  be the dual graph of  $G$ . Removing an edge of  $G$  corresponds to shrinking an edge of  $G^*$  to a vertex. In  $G^*$  every face is bounded by a triangle. When we shrink an edge  $e$  of  $G^*$ , every face in the new graph will be bounded by a triangle and the new graph will be without multiple edges unless  $P$  is a tetrahedron or  $e$  belongs to a triangle in  $G^*$  that is not a face of  $G^*$ . Such triangles will be called *non-facial* triangles. Let  $F$  be a face of  $G$  and let  $v$  be the corresponding vertex in  $G^*$ . Let the edges meeting  $v$  be  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . If no  $e_i$  belongs to a non-facial triangle, we are done. Otherwise, we choose a non-facial triangle  $T$  containing  $v$  enclosing a minimum number of vertices joined to  $v$ . Let  $v_1$  be any vertex joined to  $v$  that is enclosed by  $T$ . The edge  $e_i$  joining  $v$  and  $v_1$  cannot belong to a non-facial triangle because such a triangle cannot pass outside of  $T$ , and  $T$  is minimal. The edge of  $G$  corresponding to  $e_i$  will be the removable edge of  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of a simple 3-polytope  $P$  with  $\delta(x, y) = k$ , and if there are exactly  $n$  facets  $F$  of  $P$  such that  $\delta(x, F) < k$ , then  $k \leq \frac{2}{3}n - 1$ .*

**Proof.** First, suppose that all facets  $F$  of  $P$  are such that  $\delta(x, F) < k$ . In this case, a theorem of Klee [1] which states that a 3-polytope with  $n$  facets has diameter at most  $\frac{2}{3}n - 1$ , applies. Suppose, now, that some facet  $F$  is such that  $\delta(x, F) \geq k$ . By Lemma 1, there is a removable edge  $e$  of  $F$ . The edge  $e$  cannot belong to any path of length  $\leq k$  containing  $x$ , thus when we remove  $e$  to produce the graph of a 3-polytope  $P'$ , no facet of  $P$  of distance  $< k$  from  $x$  becomes a facet of distance  $\geq k$  in  $P'$ . Furthermore,  $\delta_{P'}(x, y) = \delta_P(x, y)$ .

We may continue removing edges until there are no more facets of distance  $\geq k$ , and now the first part of our argument applies.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $x_0$  and  $y$  are vertices of a  $d$ -polytope  $P$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , with  $\delta(x_0, y) = \delta$ , and if there are no more than  $n$  facets of  $P$  of distance at most  $\delta - 1$  from  $x_0$ , then  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{3}(2^{d-2})(n - d + \frac{3}{2})$ .*

**Proof.** Our proof is by induction on  $d$ . Lemma 2 begins our induction at  $d = 3$ . Suppose, now, that  $P$  is a  $d$ -polytope,  $d > 3$ . Let  $k$  be the length of

a shortest chain from  $x_0$  to  $y$  and let  $F_1$  be the first facet of such a chain. Among all  $k$ -chains from  $x_0$  to  $y$  beginning with  $F_1$ , choose one with a second facet  $F_2$  such that  $\delta_{F_1}(x_0, F_1 \cap F_2)$  is minimal. Let  $x_1$  be a vertex of  $F_1 \cap F_2$  such that  $\delta_{F_1}(x_0, x_1)$  is minimal. Among all  $k$ -chains joining  $x_0$  and  $y$  beginning with  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , choose one with third facet  $F_3$  such that  $\delta_{F_2}(x_1, F_2 \cap F_3)$  is minimal. Choose a vertex  $x_2$  of  $F_2 \cap F_3$  such that  $\delta_{F_2}(x_1, x_2)$  is minimal, and so on. Continuing in this way, we construct a chain  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k$  from  $x_0$  to  $y$ . We define a subfacet  $S$  of  $P$  on a facet  $F_i$  to be a *close* subfacet provided  $\delta_{F_i}(x_{i-1}, S) < \delta_{F_i}(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Let  $\Gamma_i$  be a shortest path from  $x_{i-1}$  to  $x_i$  in  $F_i$ . The union of the  $\Gamma_i$ 's is a path  $\Gamma$  in  $P$ .

We now examine facets  $F$  of  $P$  that are not in  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ , and such that  $F$  intersects some  $F_i$  on a close subfacet. If such a facet met  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  on two  $F_i$ 's that are separated by more than one facet in the chain, then  $k$  would not be minimal. If  $F$  meets  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+2}$ , for some  $i$ , such that  $F_i \cap F$  is a close facet, then we can replace  $F_{i+1}$  by  $F$  and contradict the way that  $F_{i+1}$  was chosen, because  $\delta_{F_i}(x_{i-1}, F_i \cap F_{i+1}) > \delta_{F_i}(x_{i-1}, F_i \cap F)$ . Thus each facet that meets the chain and is not in it, intersects the chain on at most two close subfacets.

On the other hand, if  $\delta(F, x_0) \geq \delta$ , then  $F$  will not intersect the chain on any close subfacet.

Let  $S_i$  be the number of close subfacets on  $F_i$ . The above argument shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k S_i \leq 2(n - k) + 2(k - 1) = 2n - 2.$$

That is, the total number of close subfacets is at most two times the number of facets that intersect the chain on close subfacets, plus the number of close subfacets which are the intersection of two consecutive facets in the chain.

By induction, the length of each  $\Gamma_i$  is at most  $\frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})(S_i - d + 1 + \frac{5}{2})$ . Thus the length of  $\Gamma$  is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})(S_i - d + 1 + \frac{5}{2}) &= \frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k S_i\right) - kd + k + \frac{5}{2}k\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})\right)((2n - 2) - 2d + 2 + 5) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}2^{d-2}(n - d + \frac{5}{2}) \quad \text{when } k \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = 1$ , then the length of  $\Gamma$  is at most

$$\frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})(n-d+1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}(2^{d-3})(n-d+\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{3}2^{d-2}(n-d+\frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

This follows because  $x_0$  and  $y$  lie on a common facet  $F$  which is a  $(d-1)$ -polytope and any close subfacet on  $F$  is the intersection of  $F$  with a facet of distance less than  $\delta(x_0, y)$  from  $x_0$ . Since  $\delta(x_0, F) = 0$ , there can be at most  $n-1$  close subfacets on  $F$ , and induction gives the above inequalities.

**Corollary.** *The diameter of a  $d$ -polytope,  $d \geq 3$ , with  $n$  facets is at most  $\frac{1}{3}2^{d-3}(n-d+\frac{\epsilon}{2})$ .*

## References

- [1] B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes* (Wiley, New York, 1967).
- [2] V. Klee and D. Walkup, The  $d$ -step conjecture for polyhedra of dimension  $d < 6$ , *Acta Math.* 117 (1967) 53–78.
- [3] D.G. Larman, Paths on polytopes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 20 (1970) 161–178.
- [4] E. Steinitz and H. Rademacher, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Polyeder* (Springer, Berlin, 1934).